

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. 07/02/2003 Richard D. Breault C-2765 4397 10/612,688 **EXAMINER** 7590 11/17/2005 Malcolm J. Chisholm, Jr. CANTELMO, GREGG 220 Main Street ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. Box 278 Lee, MA 01238 1745

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

K	1	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/612,688	BREAULT, RICHARD D.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
·	Gregg Cantelmo	1745		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 October 2005</u> .				
2a)☑ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 1-3 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>				
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical statement.</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claims 4 and 5 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

With respect to claim 4, claim 4 is directed to a distinct species, separate from the invention of claims 1-3. In particular the fuel path and coolant path limitations of new claim 4 are significantly different from that of claims 1-3 and the search for each group of claims are not identical.

With respect to claim 5, claim 5 is to a method of minimizing a temperature differential in a fuel cell. The apparatus of claims 1-3 and further claims 1-4 are not limited to the method of operating as defined in claim 5 and can be operated under process conditions different from the method of claim 5

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 4 and 5 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

## Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1-3 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: none of the prior art of record appears to teach, fairly suggest or render obvious the invention of claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,688 Page 3

Art Unit: 1745

Upon further consideration, the Examiner is persuaded by the arguments set forth in Applicant's response as to the differences between the prior art of Meyer, of record, and various claimed aspects of the invention as identified and described in Applicant's response filed October 14, 2005.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/612,688

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregg Cantelmo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1283. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9 a.m. to 6 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. FAXES received after 4 p.m. will not be processed until the following business day. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregg Cantelmo Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

November 9, 2005